Method for Improving Cooking Activity Classification Accuracy Using Location Information and Procedure Documents

Ryuto Tada¹ Katsuhiko Kaji¹ Kimihiro Mizuno² Ayano Naito¹ Naoya Chujo¹ Tadanori Mizuno¹ 1: Aichi Institute of Technology 2: Mitsubishi Electric Engineering Co., Ltd.

1. Utility of Location Info and Procedure Docs for Activity Classification The integration of location information allows for the filtering of recognized activities The integration of the procedure documents allows for the filtering of recognized activities Location Estimation A + B + C Procedure Docs

2. Limitations Limitations of Location Information Area C Area B Area A Manually defined based on video recordings Limitations of the Procedure Document originally: Start Wash A Cut A Stir-fry this study: Start Wash A Cut A cracking B Mix B Stir-fry

Activity can be refined by

location information and Procedure Documents

3. Cooking Activity Classification with Location Information Sensing with Motion Capture Using mocopi for motion capture A six-point sensor setup enables the acquisition of skeletal and location data Machine Learning (XGBoost) Extracting features • maximum • mean • minimum • variance • standard deviation Frame Integration Integrate frame-by-frame results into activity units

Constrain the possible actions

Comparison using Edit Distance

using location information

Location-Based Refinement

Procedure-Based Refinement

Correct the sequence of steps using edit distance

۵۵

Counter Stove

Correct actions

 $(A?) - (C?) - (D?) \rightarrow (E?)$

Estimation Results

4. Experiments **Purpose:** Accuracy comparison for each stage Task: Cooking fried rice **Training:** 20 trials (7 participants); Test: 1 trial (1 participant) **Cooking Activities** S1. washing green onion S5. oiling the pan S9. seasoning S2. chopping green onion S6. pouring the egg S10. plating S3. cracking an egg S7. stir-frying others S4. beating an egg S8. adding ingredients A. After Frame Integration Predicted Actions — True Actions — Segment Boundary O Acquiring duration and process Order One activity split into multiple parts Multiple activities merged into one B. Refinement with Location Only **Possible Actions** More accurate process duration X The steps are out of order C. Refinement with Procedure Only O Refined by process order × Inaccurate process duration D. Refinement with Location and Procedure S10 More accurate process duration Refined by process order S3 Accuracy comparison for each stage 100% **79.2**% 80% 74.4% 63.3% 56.4% 60% D 40%

using location information and the procedure document

Perform actual position estimation and apply it

complementarily with activity recognition

Accuracy was enhanced by refining activity recognition

5. Future Work

0%

Refinement based on **standard task durations**Consider a **more realistic reordering** of steps